

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,948	02/06/2004	S. Babar Raza	CYPR-CD02209	2169
7590 07/31/2007 WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP Third Floor			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, HANH N	
Two North Market Street San Jose, CA 95113		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
San Jose, Cre J	3113		2616	
		•		
•			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		07/31/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Application No.	1				
	10/773,948	RAZA, S. BABAR				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hanh Nguyen	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1:704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 136(a). In no event, however, may a I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
, ,	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Application filed on 2/6/04</u> .					
<del>, _</del>						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.L	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application	Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u> is/are allowed.	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19 and 20</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.						
·	· _ ·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers	•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 February 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	. •					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigna) All b) Some * c) None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	—···				

Application/Control Number: 10/773,948

Art Unit: 2616

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

Figures 1 &2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Applicant is noted the figures 1 and 2 should be labeled as Prior art ( see specification, pages 1-4).

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "port clocks" and "core clock" as shown in claims 1, 3, 4, 11 and 19 must be shown in the drawing or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet,

Application/Control Number: 10/773,948

Art Unit: 2616

and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 12, 18 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 4, 6, 11, 17, 18 and 21 of U.S.

Application/Control Number: 10/773,948

Art Unit: 2616

Patent No. 6,816,955 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1, 4, 6, 11, 17, 18 and 21 of patent discloses similar limitations as shown in claims 1, 12 and 18 including a dual port memory (a memory device with a first port and a second port) comprising a write interface logic, read interface logic (first and second synchronization logic devices); a multiplexer circuit (a multiplexer logic). Thw write and read interface logics synchronize write signal, read signal (synchronize information) to system clock signals (core clock). The Patent does not explicitly disclose a single port memory core. It is obvious that the related art described in fig.2 of the specification that dual port memory 200 having a single port memory core 250. Therefore, it would have been obvious that the dual port memory in the Patent should have a single port memory core to synchronize clock signals between ports and clock associated wit the memory core.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 14 recites the limitation "said first frequency" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Regarding claim 14, it is not clear what are associated with said first frequency, second frequency and third frequencies?

In claim 1, are "the port synchronization logic devices" on line 5 referred to " a first and a second port synchronization devices" on line 1 or others?

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 19, 20 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

In claim 19, the prior art does not disclose synchronizing the information between the port clocks and a core clock associated with a single-ported memory core, at the first and the second port synchronization logic devices; communicating the information from the first and second port synchronization logic devices to a port multiplexing logic that acts as a time division multiplexer (TDM) for the information; and communicating the information from the TDM to the single-ported memory core.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Dougherty et al. (Us pat. 7,242,686 B1);

Payson (US pat. 7,193,994 B1).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Nguyen whose telephone number is 571 272

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/773,948

Art Unit: 2616

3092. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-THursday from 8:30 to 4:30PM. The examiner can also be reached on alternate

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Feild, can be reached on 5712723092. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hanh Nguyen

HANH NGUYEN

REMARY EXAMINER